

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/027,374	Applicant(s) PATHAK, CHANDRASHEKHAR	
	Examiner Carlos A. Azpuru	Art Unit 1615	

All Participants:

(1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(2) Maria T. Bautista.
Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
scope of enablement
Claims discussed:
1, 13-16
Prior art documents discussed:
n/a
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed that the nonpolymeric component coating would be limited to the specific oils of claims 13-16. This would be done by Examiner's Amendment to put the application in condition for allowance.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/027,374	Applicant(s) PATHAK, CHANDRASHEKHAR	
	Examiner Carlos A. Azpuru	Art Unit 1615	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Carlos A. Azpuru.

(3) _____.

 (2) Maria T. Bautista.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 June 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Obviousness-type double patenting
Claims discussed:
all
Prior art documents discussed:
11/397778 & 11/557853
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed that the instant application should proceed to allowance with the understanding that copending (and later filed) applications 11/397778 & 11/557853 would be terminally disclaimed over the instant application.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)